Daniel Joseph Young, CDCR# AP5943	
Name and Prisoner/Booking Number	
CSP-Sacramento	FILED
P.O. Box 290066	1166
Mailing Address	_ Mar 15, 2022
Represa, California 95671	CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
City, State, Zip Code	
(Failure to notify the Court of your change of address may result	in dismissal of this action.)
IN THE UNITED STA	TES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DI	STRICT OF CALIFORNIA
Daniel Joseph Young).
(Full Name of Plaintiff) Plaintiff,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
	j
V.) CASE NO. 2:22-cv-487-DB (PC)
(1) Jeff Lynch, et al,;) (To be supplied by the Clerk)
(Full Name of Defendant).).
(2) M. Sotelo;))
D. O. 11.) CIVIL RIGHTS COMPLAINT
(3) D. Giardino;) BY A PRISONER
R. Bell;)
(4) R. Berry) Moriginal Complaint
Defendant(s).) First Amended Complaint
Social meteric agumma Degrapms and orach page 1-A listing them.	Second Amended Complaint
À. JURIS	SDICTION
1. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuan	nt to:
☑ 28 U.S.C. § 1343(a); 42 U.S.C. § 1983	
28 U.S.C. § 1331; Bivens v. Six Unknown	n Federal Narcotics Agents, 403 U.S. 388 (1971).
Other:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	,
Institution/city where violation occurred: <u>CSP</u>	-Sacramento

B. DEFENDANTS

1.	Name of first Defendant: <u>Jeff Lynch</u> Warden	. The first Defendant is employed as: at CSP-Sacramento			
	(Position and Title)	(Institution)			
2.	Name of second Defendant: M. Sotelo Correctional Officer	The second Defendant is employed as:			
	(Position and Title)	(Institution)			
3.	Name of third Defendant: D. Giardino Correctional Officer	The third Defendant is employed as: atCSP-Sacramento			
	(Position and Title)	(Institution)			
4.	Name of fourth Defendant: R. Bell Correctional Officer	. The fourth Defendant is employed as: at CSP-Sacramento			
	(Position and Title)	(Institution)			
1. 2.	Have you filed any other lawsuits while you were a prisoner? Yes No If yes, how many lawsuits have you filed? Describe the previous lawsuits: a. First prior lawsuit:				
	 Parties: Court and case number: 	_ V,			
	3. Result: (Was the case dismissed? Was it app	pealed? Is it still pending?)			
	 b. Second prior lawsuit; 1. Parties; 2. Court and case number; 3. Result; (Was the case dismissed? Was it approximately approximately	v			
	2. Court and case number:	v			

If you filed more than three lawsuits, answer the questions listed above for each additional lawsuit on a separate page.

D. CAUSE OF ACTION

CLAIM I

State the constitutional or other federal civil right that was violated: Please see attached Supplement		
	Aim I. Identify the issue involved. Check only one. State additional issues in separate claims. Basic necessities	
end: iorit	pporting Facts. State as briefly as possible the FACTS supporting Claim I. Describe exactly what each ant did or did not do that violated your rights. State the facts clearly in your own words without citing legally or arguments. e Supplement	
	•	
	ury. State how you were injured by the actions or inactions of the Defendant(s).	
Ad a.	ministrative Remedies: Are there any administrative remedies (grievance procedures or administrative appeals) available at your institution? X Yes \text{No}	
b. c. d.	Did you submit a request for administrative relief on Claim I? Did you appeal your request for relief on Claim I to the highest level? If you did not submit or appeal a request for administrative relief at any level, briefly explain why you did not.	
	Cla Grant Surfered Services Inj Services Add a. b. c.	

CLAIM II

1.	See	te the constitutional or other fe attached Supplement	deral civil right that	was violated:	
2.		Basic necessities Disciplinary proceedings	☐ Mail ☐ Property	☐ Access to the court ☐ Exercise of religion	☐ Medical care ☐ Retaliation
		Excessive force by an officer	☐ Threat to safety	Other:	
	enda nority	pporting Facts. State as briefly ant did or did not do that violate y or arguments. Supplement	d your rights. State t	he facts clearly in your own	words without citing legal
		, <u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>		•	
	•				
		,,			·
			•		
		- /- /- /- /- /- /- /- /- /- /- /- /- /-			
4. ——	Inj See	ury. State how you were injure Supplement	ed by the actions or	nactions of the Defendant(s).
5.	Ad	ministrative Remedies.			
	a.	Are there any administrative r institution?	emedies (grievance p	procedures or administrative	appeals) available at your Yes No
	b.	Did you submit a request for	administrative relief	on Claim II?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No
	c.	Did you appeal your request			🛚 Yes 🗌 No
	d.	If you did not submit or appedid not.			

CLAIM III State the constitutional or other federal civil right that was violated: See Attached Supplement Claim III. Identify the issue involved. Check only one. State additional issues in separate claims. 2. ☐ Basic necessities ☐ Mail ☐ Access to the court ☐ Medical care ☐ Disciplinary proceedings ☐ Property ☐ Exercise of religion ☐ Retaliation ☐ Excessive force by an officer ☐ Threat to safety ☐ Other: _____ Supporting Facts. State as briefly as possible the FACTS supporting Claim III. Describe exactly what each Defendant did or did not do that violated your rights. State the facts clearly in your own words without citing legal authority or arguments. See Supplement Injury. State how you were injured by the actions or inactions of the Defendant(s). See Supplement

5. Administrative Remedies.

- a. Are there any administrative remedies (grievance procedures or administrative appeals) available at your institution?

 ✓ Yes
 ✓ No
- b. Did you submit a request for administrative relief on Claim III?

- X Yes □ No
- c. Did you appeal your request for relief on Claim III to the highest level?
- ✓ Yes □ Note

d.	If you did not submit did not.	or appeal a request for	administrative relief at a	any level, briefl	y explain why yo	ΣU
					`	_

If you assert more than three Claims, answer the questions listed above for each additional Claim on a separate page.

E. REQUEST FOR RELIEF

State the relief you are seeking:	·
\$500,000.00;	
Any other remidies the court ma	ay deem correct
	•
	,
I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing	is true and correct
e i comerci	As the and correct.
Executed on March 15 2022	Dundan
DATE	SIGNATURE OF PLAINTIFF
	,
(Name and title of paralegal, legal assistant, or	
other person who helped prepare this complaint)	
1 - 1 - 1	
	•
(Signature of attorney, if any)	4
	-
	1
(Attorney's address & telephone number)	•

ADDITIONAL PAGES

All questions must be answered concisely in the proper space on the form. If you need more space you may attach more pages, but you are strongly encouraged to limit your complaint to twenty-five pages. If you attach additional pages, be sure to identify which section of the complaint is being continued and number all pages. Remember, there is no need to attach exhibits to your complaint.

Daniel Joseph Young, CDCR# AP5943 Ì CSP-Sacramento 2 P.O. Box 290066 Represa, California 95671 3 Plaintiff in pro se. 4 5 6 7 8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 SACRAMENTO DIVISION 11 DANIEL JOSEPH YOUNG, Case Number: 12 Plaintiff. 13 SUPPLEMENTAL CIVIL COMPLAINT -vs-14 JEFF LYNCH, Warden, et al., 15 Respondents. 16 17 COMES NOW, DANIEL JOSEPH YOUNG, Plaintiff in pro se, hereby 18 submits this SUPPLEMENTAL CIVIL COMPLAINT in support of his §1983 Civil Rights Complainr, under less stringent standards pursuant to 19 Ferdic v. Bonzelet, 963 F.2d 1258, 1261 (9th Cir. 1992) where 20 21 the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals instructs to "treat pro se 22 litigants with great leniency" due to being a layman at law and 23

as a statement of principles of United States Law, upon which Plaintiff is entitled under Equal Protection Under the Law (U.S. Const. Amend. 14th) for the reasons stated herein:

1) See Also Erickson v. Pardus, 551 US 89, 94; 127 S.Ct 2197; 167 L.Ed.2d 1081 (2007)

Plaintiff's Supplemental Civil Complaint

24

25

26

27

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

Plaintiff, in pro se, hereby submits this MEMORANDUM in support of his Civil Rights Complaint, for the following reasons: PARTIES:

Defendant Jeff Lynch was at all times relevant acting under color of state law in the position of WARDEN, employed by the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitations (CDCR) at CSP-Sacramento and is being sued in his Individual Capacity;

Defendant M. Sotelo was at all times relevant acting under color of state law in the position of Correctional Officer, employed by the CDCR at CSP-Sacramento and is being sued in her Individual Capacity;

Defendant D. Giardino was at all times relevant acting under color of state law in the position of Correctional Officer, employed by the CDCR at CSP-Sacramento and is being sued in his individual Capacity;

Defendant R. Bell was at all times relevant acting under color of state law in the position of Correctional Officer, employed by the CDCR at CSP-Sacramento and is being sued in his Individual Capacity;

Defendant Sergeant Doe was at all times relevant acting under color of state law in the position of Correctional Sergeant, employed by the CDCR at CSP-Sacramento and is being suid in his Individual Capacity;

Plaintiff, Daniel Joseph Young was at all times relevant a state prisoner under the care of CDCR and the Defendants listed herein.

Plaintiff's Supplemental Civil Complaint

BACKGROUND:

1.8

On November 13, 2019, while housed in an Administrative Segregation Housing Unit, Plaintiff informed Defendant D. Giardino that he was experiencing Suicidal Ideation. Defendant responded by walking away leaving Plaintiff unattended for some during which time, Plaintiff swallowed razor(s) with the intent of killing himself.

After some time passed, likely at least a half hour, but may have been as much as an hour, Defendant Giardino returned with Defendants R. Bell and M. Sotelo and instructed Plaintiff to "cuff up and be a good little shit" as they grabbed Plaintiff's arms aggressively, twisting to place Plaintiff in hand cuffs behind Plantiff's back. The cuffs were applied extremely tightly, with the intent to cause Plaintiff pain, as circulation was cut off.

Defendants Giardino and Bell each took one of Plaintiff's arms and escorted Plaintiff down stairs and roughly shoved Plaintiff into the wall and proceeded to roughly apply ankle restraints.

As soon as Plaintiff was tightly restrained, Defendants began verbally degrading Plaintiff in tandem as one said, "Fag Boy" followed by another saying, "Faggot," another with "Stupid little boy," and other derogatory comments intended to offend Plaintiff as Plaintiff identifies as a female or transgender. They knew referring to Plaintiff as a "boy" would greatly offend Plaintiff.

////////

Plaintiff's Supplemental Civil Complaint

As the Defendants escorted Plaintiff to speak with a nurse, Defendant Giardino told the others that Plaintiff had something in her hands at which time Plaintiff was body-slammed onto Plaintiff's head and Defendant Sotelo jumped onto Plaintiff's back as she laid prostrate and remained cuffed behind her back in leg irons as Defendant Sotelo began punching Plaintiff in the head and back.

Defendant Sergeant Doe grabbed Defendant Sotelo and pulled her off of Plaintiff and Defendant Sotelo tore away from Defendant Sergeant Doe to continue beating on Plaintiff.

Plaintiff suffered injuries in the form of:

- Split left eyebrow requiring stiches and leaving a permenent scar;
- 2) Psychological damage, such as PTSD and fear of Peace Officers who have the duty to protect, but instead lean more on the side of becoming the ones inmates need protection from;
- Defendants, in support of the Code of Silence, issued Plaintiff a ficticious Rules Violation report.

CLAIM ONE: DEFENDANTS VIOLATED PLAINTIFF'S EIGHTH AMENDED RIGHT TO BE FREE OF CRUEL AND UNUSUAL PUNISHMENT:

Defendants Giardino, Bell and Sotelo violated Plaintiff's Eighth Amendment Rights to be Free from Cruel and Unusual Punishment when they used excessive and unnecessary force in the application of the restraints, both handcuffs and the leg irons.

CLAIM TWO: DEFENDANTS VIOLATED PLAINTIFF'S EIGHTH AMENDMENT RIGHT TO BE FREE OF CRUEL AND UNUSUAL PUNISHMENT:

Plaintiff's Supplemental Civil Complaint

Defendants Giadino and Bell violated Plaintiff's Eighth Amendment Right to be Free from Cruel and Unusual Punishment when they applied excessive and unnecessary force by slamming Plaintiff into the wall.

CLAIM THREE: DEFENDANTS VIOLATED PLAINTIFF'S EIGHTH AMENDMENT RIGHT UNDER DELIBERATE INDIFFERENCE STANDARD:

Defendant Sotelo violated Plaintiff's Eighth Amendment
Right under the Deliberate Indifference Standard when Defendants
Giardino and Bell 1) Applied the Restraints using excessive and
unnecessary force, and 2) slammed Plaintiff into the wall by not
intevening to either stop or minimize the unnecessary use of
force which was exasperated by intentionally not reporting the
Defendants' unnecessary and excessive use of force.

CLAIM FOUR: DEFENDANTS VIOLATED PLAINTIFF'S EIGHTH AMENDMENT RIGHT TO BE FREE FROM CRUEL AND UNUSUAL PUNISHMENT:

Defendants Giardino and Bell violated Plaintiff's Eighth Amendment Right to be free from Cruel and Unusual Punishment when they slammed Plaintiff to the ground using excessive and unnecessary force to do so, where there was no reason to use any kind of force.

CLAIM FIVE: DEFENDANT VIOLATED PLAINTIFF'S EIGHTH AMENDMENT RIGHT UNDER DELIBERATE INDIFFERENCE STANDARD:

Defendant Sotelo violated Plaintiff's Eighth Amendment
Right when she intentionally did not intervene or try to minimize the force being used by Defendants Giardino and Bell when
they excessively and unnecessarily slammed Plaintiff to the floor.

//////
Plaintiff's Supplemental Civil Complaint

CLAIM SIX: DEFENDANT VIOLATED PLAINTIFF'S EIGHTH AMENDMENT RIGHT TO BE FREE OF CRUEL AND UNUSUAL PUNISHMENT:

Defendant Sotelo violated Plaintiff's Eighth Amendment
Right to be Free of Cruel and Unusual Punishment when she flew
into a rage and jumped onto Plaintiff's back and began beating
Plaintiff. This violation was exasperated when Defendant Sotelo
tore away from Defendant Sergeant Doe and continued to beat on
Plaintiff.

CLAIM SEVEN: DEFENDANTS VIOLATED PLAINTIFF'S EIGHTH AMENDMENT RIGHT UNDER DELIBERATE INDIFFERENCE STANDARD:

Defendants Giardino and Bell violated Plaintiff's Eighth Amendment Right under the Deliberate Indifference Standard when niether Defendant attempted to stop Defendant Sotelo from beating on Plaintiff, nor did they attempt to minimize the fury unleashed by their coworker.

CLAIM EIGHT: DEFENDANTS VIOLATED PLAINTIFF'S FIRST AMENDMENT RIGHT TO ACCESS THE COURTS:

All the above Defendants violated Plaintiff's First
Amendment Right to Access the Courts when they retaliated against
Plaintiff with a false Rules Violation Report because Plaintiff
informed them that she would be filing an Administrative
Grievance known as a Staff Complaint.

CLAIM NINE: DEFENDANT VIOLATED PLAINTIFF'S EIGHTH AMENDMENT RIGHT UNDER THE DELIBERATE INDIFFERENCE STANDARD:

Defendant Jeff Lynch violated Plaintiff's Eighth Amendment Rights under the Deliberate Indifferent Standard where he

Plaintiff's Supplemental Civil Complaint

created an environment of deliberate indifference and abuse by activly supporting the Code of Silence in covering up Staff Misconduct by his subordinates when reports come across his desk of the Misconduct and he merely rubber stamps them. Defendant Lynch has a long history of covering up misconduct that includes the death of at least two Correctional Officers who tried to report Staff Misconduct they witnessed, especailly from the elite Investigations Services Unit who only reports to Defendant Lynch.

CLAIM TEN: DEFENDANTS VIOLATED PLAINTIFF'S EIGHTH AMENDMENT RIGHT TO BE FREE FROM CRUEL AND UNUSUAL PUNISHMENT AND UNDER THE DELIBERATE INDIFFERENCE STANDARD:

All the Defendants have violated Plaintiff's Eighth Amendment under both the Cruel and Unusual Punishment and the Deliberate Indifference Standards, as well as the California Constitution and California Penal Code §2635, SADEA, which is California's version of PREA, where CLAIMS ONE through NINE were committed because of Plaintiff's Transgenderism.

CLAIM ELEVEN: DEFENDANTS VIOLATED PLAINTIFF'S EIGHTH AMENDMENT RIGHT UNDER THE DELIBERATE INDIFFERENCE AND FIRST AMENDMENT RIGHT TO BE FREE FROM RETALIATION:

Defendant's Sotelo, Bell, Giardino and Sergeant Doe violated Plaintiff's Eighth and First Amendment Rights when they filed false reports (CDCR Form 837, Crime Incident Report and Use of Force Reports) after physically battering Plaintiff in order to cover up for the injuries Plaintiff suffered from their attacks

Plaintiff's Supplemental Civil Complaint

in furtherence of the Code of Silence. Defendant Sergeant Doe further violated these rights when he did not pursue Defendant Sotelo's unnecessary and excessive use of force for beating on Plaintiff as Defendant Sergeant Doe tried to pull Sotelo off of Plaintiff.

CLAIM TWELVE: DEFENDANTS VIOLATED PLAINTIFF'S EIGHTH AMENDMENT RIGHT UNDER THE DELIBERATE INDIFFERENCE STANDARD:

Defendant D. Giardino violated Plaintiff's Eighth Amendment Right under the Deliberate Indifference Standard when Defendant was informed that Plaintiff was suicidal and Defendant responded by walking away and leaving Plaintiff alone to harm her-self. The act of walking away had a severe effect on Plaintiff, reinforcing Plaintiff's belief that nobody cared, but even worse; that most people would rather see Plaintiff dead because of being a Transgender Woman. Seething hatred targetting Plaintiff her entire life for seemingly no reason.

Plaintiff, wishing for it all to just be over, then swallowed several razor blades which cause abdominal pain. The act of walking away triggered PTSD symptoms resurfacing internal shame and lothing for being cursed with male body parts. And then when help did arive, it resulted in Plaintiff being beaten and abused.

Submitted This 15 day of march, 2022

DNHYUG

		•		
1 2	Daniel Joseph Young, CDCR# AP5943 CSP-Sacramento P.O. Box 290066 Represa, California 95671			
3	1			
ű	Plaintiff in pro se.			
5				
6				
7				
.8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
9	FOR THE <u>EASTERN</u> DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
10	SACRAMENTO DIVISION			
11	DANIEL JOSEPH YOUNG,			
12	PLAINTIFF, CASE	E NUMBER:		
13	-vs-			
14	JEFF LYNCH, et al.,	LARATION OF: DANIEL JOSEPH YOUNG		
15	Defendants, IN	SUPPORT OF HER CIVIL COMPALINT		
16		•		
17	DECLARA	T I O N		
18	I, DANIEL JOSEPH YOUNG, in pro	se, hereby state the following:		
19	1) I am over the age of major	ity, reside in a California		
20	Prison and am the Plaintiff in this	cause;		
21	2) If called to testify, this represents a sample of how I			
22	would testify;			
23	3) On November 13, 2019, while housed in an Administrative			
24	Segregation Unit, I informed Officer D. Giardino that I was			
25	sucidal. Giardino walked away and	sucidal. Giardino walked away and left me by myself.		
26	4) This act of walking away with scorn on Giardino's face			
27	//////////////////////////////////////	In Connect of District		
28	Declaration of Daniel Joseph Young Civil Complaint -1-	in support of riaintiff's		

.25 .26

left me feeling lower than dirt, reinforcing the hate I've received all my life; hopelessness and uselessness. All I wanted at this point was to just have it all over with.

- 5) I swallowed several razor blades with the intent of killing myself; not a very well thoughtout plan. I didn't consider the efficacy of the act, the damage it could do to my body or how long it might take; considering whatever suffering I would endure while waiting for the desired affect.
- 6) What seemed like an eternity pass when Defendants D. Giardino, R. Bell and M. Sotelo arived to my cell and spat at me to "cuff up and be a good little shit."
- 7) I turned my back to them and surrendered my wrists to the food port on the door so they could handcuff me behind my back, as is custom.
- 8) Defendants roughly grabbed my arms and twisted while pulling my arms through the food port in a painful and unnatural manner placing the handcuffs on my wrist extremely tightly, cutting into my wrist restricting blood-flow to my hands.
- 9) When the tower officer opened my cell door, Defendants Giardino and Bell each took one of my arms in the escort downstairs where they slammed me against a wall and roughly yanked my legs up and forcefully placed leg irons extremely tightly onto my ankles.
- 10) Immediately after, Defendants Giardino, Bell and Sotelo became verbally abusive, taking turns shooting off insults at me. One said, "Fag Boy," and another said, "Faggot." And

Declaration of Daniel Joseph Young in Support of Plaintiff's Civil Complaint

- 5 6 7 8
- 10 11 12

14 15

13

- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19 20
- 21

- 23
- 24
- 25 26
- 27
- 28

- another said, "Stupid little boy." Knowing that I am a Transgender Woman, they were shaming me intentionally for having male bodyparts. There are few insults that cut me so deeply and these Defendants capitolized on that fact.
- Further, making these statements informed Plaintiff that 11) their abuses were motivated by hate for who and what I am. knowledge frightens me because that kind of rage has never seemed rational to me. To HATE so intensely for simply BEING defies all logic. There is nothing I can do to keep from being a victim to such rage. I have not done anything to them or their families. But also, to be the constant recipient of such hatred over the course of my entire life has had an indelible impact on my mental health.
- 12) Sudenly Defendant Giardino stated that I had something in my hands behind my back (cuffed). As I turned to try to say that I had nothing in my hands and to show them my hands. Defendants Giardino and Bell slammed my body onto the floor, bashing my face into the ground.
- 13) Then Defendant Sotelo jumped on my back and started beating me with her fists on my head and body.
- Sergeant Doe tried to pull Sotelo off of me, but Sotelo pulled away from Sergeant Doe and continued in her rage to beat me.
- 15) None of these Defendants filed a report documenting their participation or each others' actions in the abuse I received.

- 16) Instead, Plaintiff was issued a Rules Violation Report alleging Plaintiff did something requiring the use of force in order to justify the injuries I received.
- There is no way Plaintiff could have done anything to or against them as Plaintiff was tightly cuffed behind her back and wearing tight leg irons. Plaintiff could barely walk due to the tightness.

The above statement is made under penalty of perjury under the laws of California (Penal Code §72) and United States Law (28 U.S.C. §1746), made by personal knowledge except where indicated by information and belief, and is true and factual.

Respectfully sworn to, by me, this 15 day of March, 2022.

Daniel Joseph Young, CDCR# AP5 Declarant/Plaintiff in pro se.